



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,527		06/25/2001 .	Gerhard Siemeister	SCH-1815	5738
23599	7590	09/30/2002			
		ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400				ANGELL, JON E	
ARLINGTO	ARLINGTON, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1635	<del>-</del>
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8				
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Attachment(s)							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
11) 🔲 🗆	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Application Papers							
	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	s) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
l	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
l '_							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	— · is action is non-final.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
		J. Eric Angell	1635				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		09/887,527	SIEMEISTER ET AL.				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1, for example.
  - II. Claim 22, drawn to the use of the composition of Invention I to treat a disease/disorder such as tumors, cancers, etc., classified in class 514, subclass 2-21, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. For instance, there are other compositions that can be used to treat disease/disorders such as cancer or tumors such as chemotherapeutic agents and radiation therapy.

Art Unit: 1635

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group I is not required for Group II because the searches require different search strategies,

different search terms and searches of different classifications, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

In order to be perfectly clear, the following subgroups are NOT species elections. These

are independent and distinct because each sequence is structurally and functionally distinct from

the other sequences. For example, the sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 is chemically, structurally,

and functionally different from a molecule comprising SEQ ID NO:2-59, and so on.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subgroups

of the claimed invention:

SEQ ID NO:1-59 (e.g. see claim 12)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed subgroup (i.e. one

(1) SEQ ID NO.) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

subgroup that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Art Unit: 1635

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the subgroups are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the subgroup to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. This application contains claims directed to small molecules (see claim 15) which are patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single (1) defined small molecule (i.e. one small molecule where every variable group is selected) disclosed for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Additionally, applicants are asked to provide a drawing of the chemical structure of the elected species and also to provide the chemical name of the elected molecule.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1635

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Eric Angell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

Art Unit: 1635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular

communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

J. Eric Angell September 26, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6